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OBJECTIVE

• To demonstrate that existing technology enables sufficient monitoring of space treaties, Codes of Conduct, and agreements.
Considerations

- Increasing dependence on satellite systems.
- Reemergence of Earth and space-based weapons programs directed against space assets,
- The growing number of actors in space, contributing to congestion and proliferation in debris
- The expected availability of lower cost launches that will greatly increase the number of spacecraft launched and strain already overtaxed mechanisms for monitoring.
- The use of very small systems in space that go below the current threshold of space surveillance systems.
MONITORING AND VERIFICATION

• No agreement can be verified unequivocally.
  – Multilateral treaties generally include no verification mechanism
    • Many parties are unable to verify anything on their own.
    • The consequences of violation are sufficiently harsh that explicit verification is not necessary.

• One must determine what is sufficient for the purpose.
  – Almost all nations are capable of contributing to achieve sufficiency
APPROACH

- Conjecture likely provisions
- Identify the observables of violation
- Determine technical capability to perceive those observables and act on them.
- Examine capabilities parametrically to develop alternatives with varying degrees of confidence.
- Focus on civil and commercial “Persistent Technical Means.”
# Examples in Each Mission Phase

## LAUNCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treaty Provision</th>
<th>Possible Violation</th>
<th>Observables</th>
<th>Perception Mechanisms</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of Access</td>
<td>Interference with launch communication and control</td>
<td>EMI/RFI</td>
<td>Local Terrestrial, air, or space Sensors</td>
<td>Emission control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positioning satellites improperly during launch windows</td>
<td>Radar, EO</td>
<td>Radar and Optical Sensors</td>
<td>NOTAMS and closures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris Mitigation</td>
<td>Unnecessary release of launch related objects</td>
<td>Multiple, unanticipated objects</td>
<td>Radar and EO/Space and Terrestrial</td>
<td>Best practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conjunction with resident spacecraft</td>
<td>Trajectory</td>
<td>Radar and EO/Space and Terrestrial</td>
<td>SSA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ON ORBIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treaty Provision</th>
<th>Possible Violation</th>
<th>Observables</th>
<th>Perception Mechanisms</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of Movement</td>
<td>Interference with telemetry, commanding, or communications</td>
<td>EMI/RFI</td>
<td>Distributed receivers</td>
<td>Emission control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris Mitigation</td>
<td>Conjunction with resident spacecraft</td>
<td>Trajectory</td>
<td>Radar and EO/Space and Terrestrial</td>
<td>SSA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## DISPOSAL OR REENTRY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treaty Provision</th>
<th>Possible Violation</th>
<th>Observables</th>
<th>Perception Mechanisms</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passivation</td>
<td>Failure to deplete energy</td>
<td>Lack of observable propellant expulsion or momentum dump</td>
<td>Space surveillance</td>
<td>Sanction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Collaborative Possibilities

• Perceiving satellite maneuvers
  – Planned Maneuvers
  – Unintentional Trajectory Modification
  – Intentional, Unannounced Modification

• Determining satellite orbits independently and uncooperatively
Representative Analyses

- Satellite Maneuver in the Southern Hemisphere
- Maneuver of a Geostationary Satellite over the Indian Ocean
Analysis Approach

• Synthesize observations of the trajectory
  – Samples at regular or irregular intervals
  – Add measurement imprecision (noise)
• Determine observation opportunities
• Consume observations for orbit determination using modern mathematical techniques.
• Develop new orbit
Potential Space Surveillance Resources
Sun Synchronous Satellite Maneuver over the Indian Ocean

One kilometer per second delta V in track
Collaborative Persistent Capabilities
Contribute Many Observation Opportunities

Maneuvering Sun
Synchronous Satellite

Maneuvering Geostationary Satellite

www.centerforspace.com
Collaborative Technical Means Achieve Exceptional Orbit Estimates

- 5m position uncertainty
- Near perfect maneuver recovery
- Up to 5x more intrack accuracy

Maneuvering Sun Synchronous Satellite

- 0.4-0.7 km position uncertainty
- More dense, angles-only measurements increase intrack SSA accuracy
- Up to 7.8x more intrack accuracy

Maneuvering Geostationary Satellite
Developing Orbits Independently in Due Course

Space Surveillance System

Space Surveillance System Plus India and South Africa
MITIGATING RADIO FREQUENCY INTERFERENCE

• Communication and data transfer exploit extremely small regions of spectrum at extremely low power.
• Small satellite antennas lead to large beam spreads in space.
• There are thousands of unintentional and intentional interference incidents every week.
• Several perceptions of the interference are necessary in order to locate the source
  – Either two or more collaborating satellites in relatively close proximity or several hits from one satellite that moves.
Time and Frequency difference of arrival of a signal at two or more collaborating satellites reveal distance (time of arrival) and velocity (frequency through Doppler shift) from which the source can be “triangulated” if one knows the trajectories of the satellites.
International Collaboration

• Satellite orbits are generally not known with sufficient precision.

• Reference emitters on the ground enable more refined orbit estimates and provide fiducial points for calibrating geolocation.
  – Locate the emitter as though it were interference and then adjust to the known location of the emitter.
Potential World-Wide Reference Emitter System
Hosted Payloads

• Payloads augmented to satellites for other than the primary mission can accomplish much at modest cost.

Payloads on Geostationary Satellites enable observation of other satellites not otherwise visible while assuring transmission to the ground.
Conclusion

• Monitoring is a matter of degree.
  – What is sufficient to achieve diplomatic goals?
• Civil and commercial means can contribute to and, in some cases, completely fulfill monitoring or verification needs.
• This presentation suggests a taxonomy and a technical approach toward Persistent Technical Means
  – Demonstrated with concrete examples.